

1 *Original Article*

2 **SMALL AREA POPULATION PROJECTIONS: SUITABILITY OF HAMILTON-PERRY**
3 **MODEL IN UNDERTAKING POPULATION PROJECTIONS BY AGE AND SEX FOR**
4 **SELECTED SUB-COUNTIES IN NYERI AND VIHIGA COUNTIES IN KENYA**

5

6

Mose Job Nyandwaki¹, Dr. Samuel Wakibi² and Prof. Alfred Agwanda³

Citation: Mose, J.; Wakibi, S.;
Agwanda, A. Small Area population
projections: Suitability of Hamilton-
Perry model in undertaking
population projections by age and sex
for selected sub-counties in Nyeri and
Vihiga counties in Kenya. *Journal of
African Population Studies* 2023, 18, x
<https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname
Received: date
Accepted: date
Published: date

Publisher's Note: JAPS stays neutral
with regard to jurisdictional claims in
published maps and institutional
affiliations.



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors.
Submitted for possible open access
publication under the terms and
conditions of the Creative Commons
Attribution (CC BY) license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Population Studies and Research Institute, University of Nairobi; jobmose2013@gmail.com
2. Population Studies and Research Institute, University of Nairobi; swakibi@uonbi.ac.ke
3. Population Studies and Research Institute, University of Nairobi; ataotieno@uonbi.ac.ke

Abstract

Background: Devolution of services from the National Government to the 47 County Governments in Kenya has led to a rise in demand for reliable disaggregated population statistics at lower levels (sub-county and ward levels) for effective planning. **Objective:** The study was undertaken to determine the suitability of the Hamilton-Perry model in undertaking sub-county population projections by age and sex using selected sub-counties in Nyeri and Vihiga Counties in Kenya. **Method:** The study used the 1999, 2009 and 2019 census data for the selected sub-counties. Vihiga county was selected because it had the highest out migration rate of 97 in 2019 census while Nyeri was selected due to its stable Total Fertility Rete (TFR) and low out migration rate. **Results:** The Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) for Nyeri county, Kieni East and Kieni West Sub-counties were 8.5, 11.5 and 17.5 per cent respectively. That of Vihiga County, Emuhaya Sub-county and Vihiga Sub-county were 9.6, 19.3 and 7.9 per cent respectively. This implies that the projection had good precision for Nyeri County, Vihiga County and Vihiga Sub-county and had average precision for Kieni West, Kieni East and Emuhaya Sub-counties. **Conclusions:** The study results from the two sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties, showed that the HP population projection model can be used reliably to undertake population projections for the sub-counties of Kenya. The model produced population projections with very good precision in the Sub-counties with higher population size but its precision was shown to decrease with decrease in population size.

31 1. Introduction

32 Small-area population projections are key in strategic planning, research and policy purposes at sub-national and lower levels.
33 They have been used in estimating demand for basic human needs such as food, water and transportation; planning for basic
34 amenities like housing, schools, hospitals, market centers, etc.; and estimating the labor forces and potential consumptions at
35 various levels among others [1 2 3]. According to [4], small area population projections have been useful in the review and
36 redrawing of electoral boundaries. Additionally, many users across the public and private sectors require population
37 projections disaggregated by sex and age groups at lower levels for informed segmentation planning and programming.

38 Generally, population projections are necessary for the distribution of resources, advocacy, research, planning and policy
39 evaluation, and monitoring and evaluation of the impact of population programs in the intercensal periods⁵. Kenya has been
40 conducting population and housing censuses after every 10 years since 1969 and among the census products has been an
41 analytical report on population projections. For the last two censuses; the 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census (2019
42 KPHC) and 2009 Kenya Population and Housing Census (2009 KPHC), population projections have been done up to the sub-
43 national (county) level using the cohort-component population projection method as difficulties are encountered in generating
44 reliable population projections at lower levels.

45 Kenya is divided into forty-seven (47) counties as per the First Schedule of the Constitution of Kenya 2010 each with various
46 sub-counties⁶. Much of the planning for the population is currently being done at the county level and involves preparation of a

47 four-year County Integrated Development Plan (CIDP) that guides the respective counties in coordinating and harmonizing
48 planning, budgeting, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of their development processes⁷. To effectively plan for the
49 population, the county governments and other stakeholders require age and sex-disaggregated population projected at lower
50 levels/sub-county levels. There is therefore a need to identify the most suitable method that the counties can use to generate
51 reliable population projections at sub-county levels to enable them to make informed decisions while planning and
52 programming at those levels.

53 A number of methods for undertaking small-area population projections exist with various strengths and weaknesses. An
54 analysis done by⁸, enumerated the strengths and weaknesses of the following small area population projection methods:
55 Simple extrapolative and comparative methods; model averaging and composite models; models incorporating
56 socioeconomic variables and spatial relationships; models linking population & housing; small area microsimulation; and
57 Hamilton–Perry & other simplified cohort-component methods among others. Hamilton–Perry models have been singled out
58 to produce reliable small-area age–sex population projections with relatively little input data compared to other methods. A
59 major weakness of other small area population projection methods is that they do not produce age-sex disaggregated
60 population projections [9]. They are applied to project population totals rather than age and sex-specific disaggregation.

61 The main objective of the study was to determine the suitability of Hamilton-Perry model in undertaking population
62 projections by age and sex for selected sub-counties in Nyeri and Vihiga Counties in Kenya. Specific objectives were to:

- 63 1. Project 2019 Population by age and sex for the selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties using the
64 Hamilton and Perry method;
- 65 2. Assess the closeness or deviation of the output/results from Hamilton-Perry projection model with observed 2019
66 census enumeration data for the selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties;
- 67 3. Project 2029 Population by sex and age group for the selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties.

68 Cohort component methods have been used to undertake population projections at national and large regional/sub-national
69 levels. The method is, however, unsuitable for small areas because it requires migration, fertility and mortality data as inputs
70 which are unavailable or unreliable at those levels. The Cohort-component method is superior to mathematical methods as it
71 involves a separate analysis of the changes affecting each component of the population [5 10 11]. At lower levels (small
72 areas), population data suffer from geocoding inaccuracy, boundary changes, erratic demographic trends, and unpredictable
73 population changes especially in the urban population [12, 13, 14] and it is, therefore, challenging to use cohort component
74 models. The technique is therefore not appropriate in undertaking population projections at lower levels due to a lack of
75 reliable inputs. The outputs from the cohort component model may, therefore, not be reliable at geographical areas below the
76 sub-national especially at longer durations from the base year.

77 According to [8, 15], there are a number of population projection methods and no single model is better than the other. Each
78 has its own strengths and weaknesses. To choose an appropriate method, one needs to consider its validity, effectiveness, data
79 requirements, ease of application, among other strengths. Two studies [9, 16] noted that in recent years, the Hamilton-Perry
80 (HP) model has been used extensively to produce reliable small area age-sex population projections with relatively little input
81 data. It does not require fertility, mortality, and migration input data like the traditional cohort-component models but
82 produces reliable projections.

83 To assess the primary needs of people, which development programs aim to satisfy, it is important to have information on the
84 expected size, composition and distribution of the population at different geographic units and points in a given time [5]. The
85 HP model has been used to project the populations in a number of regions for instance: projection of census tracts and block
86 groups in the US census from 2000 to 2020 [17, 18], the populations of urban census tracts with spatial weighting applied to
87 preliminary forecasts [19], and the projecting the population for counties in Washington State and census tracts in New
88 Mexico [20]. They have also been used in forecasting population by age, sex and race for all counties of the US for 2020-2100
89 [21] and in projection of population of the small areas of Japan [22] among others.

90 A review by [4] suggests that small area forecasting accuracy improves through constraining to total population extrapolative
91 forecasts, using a mix of cohort change ratios (for declining populations) and cohort change differences (for growing
92 populations), and modifying cohort change ratios over time in line with those from a larger population. Another study [23],

93 noted that overall, errors tend to increase as population size decreases, with errors rising rapidly once population size declines
 94 below 10,000. Hamilton-Perry method has minimal data requirements than the traditional cohort-component method and only
 95 requires population by age and sex from the two most recent censuses. The Hamilton-Perry method has been used widely to
 96 carry out population projections for small geographic areas in which mortality, fertility, and migration data are non-existent,
 97 unreliable, or very difficult to obtain or for larger geographic areas where birth, death, and migration forecasts are not needed,
 98 not available or are unreliable [9]

99 Use of the HP method which is a variant of the traditional cohort component models of population projection has gained
 100 popularity in the recent years. In the recent past it has demonstrated its practical value and accuracy in projecting population
 101 composition [19,24] demonstrated that HP and cohort-component methods produced similar projections of age-sex structure
 102 of the population for the U.S States and counties in Florida.

103 Over time population projections in Kenya have been done at National and County levels. With the increased demand for
 104 population projections at sub-county levels in Kenya, there is a need to have population projections at the lower levels to
 105 enable the County Governments and other stakeholders make informed planning and decision making. Furthermore, there is
 106 need to establish projection errors using the various modifications of the HP model and using the smoothed data at the lower
 107 levels to come up with the most efficient HP model for making population projections at sub-county levels. To determine the
 108 extent to which projections can be done at these levels, it is necessary to conduct some sensitivity analyses on the suitability of
 109 these methods. The sensitivity test used data from selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga counties. However, the HP
 110 Model suitability for small area projections has not yet been evaluated to determine their consistency with census data for
 111 many developing countries including Kenya. The study sought to address this need and provide population projections at sub-
 112 county levels.

113 The two study counties were chosen to demonstrate how the method performs even in cases of extreme migration rates and
 114 stable Total Fertility Rate (TFR). Vihiga county had the highest out migration rate of 97 per 1,000 persons in 2019 census
 115 while Nyeri had a stable TFR and population distribution structure as well as a low out migration rate as indicated in Table 1.

116 **2. Materials and Methods**

117 The data used for this study was from the 1999, 2009 and 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Censuses The methodological
 118 approach to census taking in Kenya is traditional/classic and defacto (persons are enumerated where they were physically
 119 present on the census reference date, regardless of whether they are usual and/or legal residents of the household/area. For this
 120 study, data from selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga was used.

121 **2.1 Data Analysis- The Hamilton-Perry Method**

122 This study used the Hamilton-Perry model, a variant of the cohort-component population forecasting method, for small area
 123 population projections that requires minimal data inputs. The Hamilton-Perry method has been used widely to project
 124 population by age and sex using cohort-change ratios (CCR) computed from data from two most recent population censuses.
 125 It has gained acceptance for its practical value and accuracy in forecasting population composition at small areas. The method
 126 is based on the assumption that CCRs and Child Woman Ratios (CWRs) developed over the base period are held constant
 127 over the forecast period [19]

128 CCR is computed as below:

129
$${}_nCCR_x^i = \frac{{}_n P_{x+t,1}^i}{{}_n P_{x,0}^i} \dots \dots \dots 1$$

130 where

131 ${}_n P_{x+t,1}^i$ is the population aged x+t to x+t+n;

132 ${}_n P_{x,0}^i$ is the population aged x to x+n in the second recent census and t is the number of years between the two most
 133 recent census;

134 ‘ith’ is a given small area (sub-county)

135 Applying the above to the 1999 and 2009 Kenya Population and Housing Censuses population for Nyeri County, the CCR for
136 the population aged 20-24 in 1999 was computed as below:

137
$${}_5CCR_{20} = {}_5P_{30,2009} / {}_5P_{20,1999}$$

138 The projected population for year x+t was estimated using the Hamilton-Perry projection formula given by:

139
$${}_n P_{x+z,t} = {}_n CCR_x * {}_n P_{x,1} \dots\dots\dots 2$$

140 Using the 1999 and 2009 Kenya Population and Housing Censuses population for Nyeri County, the formula for projecting
141 the population age 20-24 in 2019 was computed as below:

142
$${}_5P_{20,2019} = {}_5CCR_{20} * {}_5P_{10,2009} \text{ with } {}_5CCR_{20} = {}_5P_{30,2009} / {}_5P_{20,1999}$$

143 Taking into consideration the nature of CCRs, the youngest age-group they can project is 10-14 years when the data is from
144 10-year population censuses and age 5-9 years when the data is from 5-year population censuses. Child Women Ratio
145 (CWRs) are used to project the population for age groups less than 10 years for data from 10-year population censuses. CWR
146 is defined as the population aged 0-4 divided by the population aged 15-44. To project the population aged 5-9, the CWR is
147 defined as the population aged 5-9 divided by the population aged 20-49 [17]

148 The CWR for males and females aged 0-4 and 5-9 respectively will be given by:

149 Females 0-4: ${}_5FP_{0,t} = ({}_5FP_{0,1} / {}_{30}FP_{15,1}) * {}_{30}FP_{15,t} \dots\dots\dots 3$

150 Males 0-4: ${}_5MP_{0,t} = ({}_5MP_{0,1} / {}_{30}MP_{15,1}) * {}_{30}MP_{15,t} \dots\dots\dots 4$

151 Females 5-9: ${}_5FP_{5,t} = ({}_5FP_{5,1} / {}_{30}FP_{20,1}) * {}_{30}FP_{20,t} \dots\dots\dots 5$

152 Males 5-9: ${}_5MP_{5,t} = ({}_5MP_{5,1} / {}_{30}MP_{20,1}) * {}_{30}MP_{20,t} \dots\dots\dots 6$

153 Where:

- 154 • ‘FP’ is the female population and ‘MP’ is the male population; and
- 155 • ‘1’ is the census year and t is the target year.

156 To project the Nyeri County female population age 0-4 for the year 2019 using the CWR approach, the equation below was
157 used:

158
$${}_5FP_{0,2019} = ({}_5FP_{0,2009} / {}_{30}FP_{15,1999}) * {}_{30}FP_{15,2019}$$

159 To project the oldest age group, say, 85+, the CCR for this open-ended age group will be given as:

160
$$CCR_{75+} = P_{85+, 1} / P_{75+, 0} \dots\dots\dots 7$$

161 Using the 1999 and 2009 Kenya Population and Housing Census, the formula for projecting the population 85+ for the year
162 2019 will be given by:

163
$$P_{85+, 2019} = (P_{85+, 2009} / P_{75+, 1999}) * P_{75+, 2009} \dots\dots\dots 8$$

164 The above is applied to both sexes as follows:

165 Female age 85+ will be given by: $FP_{85+, 2019} = (FP_{85+, 2009} / FP_{75+, 1999}) * FP_{75+, 2009}$ while that for the males will be
166 given by: $MP_{85+, 2019} = (MP_{85+, 2009} / MP_{75+, 1999}) * MP_{75+, 2009}$.

167 There are several approaches for modifying CCRs and CWRs over the projection period which include averaging and trending
168 them and using a synthetic method that bases county level CCR and CWR change and applies them to the sub-county level
169 [4]. This study applied the unmodified HP method to undertake the projections and evaluate the projection errors arising from
170 the method using the actual 2019 census enumerated population for the selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties.
171 Modifications of the HP methods were done to improve on the accuracy of the projections.

172 The main limitation for the Hamilton-Perry method is that it can lead to unreasonably high projections in rapidly growing
173 places and unreasonably low projection in places experiencing population loses in the recent censuses [25, 26]. Additionally,
174 the model requires that geographic boundaries remain constant over time. According to [17], all boundary changes within the
175 forecast period must be accounted for to realize accurate projections.

176 MAPE

177 The mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is the average of the absolute percentage errors of forecasts. MAPE is commonly
178 used to measure the accuracy of a projection method. It is calculated as follows:

$$179 \quad \text{MAPE} = \sum |PE_t| / n,$$

180 where, $PE_t = [(F_t - A_t) / A_t] \times 100$, and ‘PE’ represents the percent error, ‘t’ the target year, ‘F’ the projected
181 population, ‘A’ the actual enumerated population, and ‘n’ the number of areas or categories.

182 Projections that are perfect and very accurate result in a MAPE of zero. A MAPE of less than 10 per cent indicates that the
183 projection is very good, while a MAPE of 10-20 per cent indicates that the projection is good. The larger the value of the
184 MAPE, the lower the precision of the projection[27].

185 MALPE

186 The mean algebraic percent error (MALPE) is used to measure forecast bias. MALPE can be estimated using the following
187 formula:

$$188 \quad \text{MALPE} = \sum PE_t / n.$$

189 where ‘PE’, ‘t’ and ‘n’ are as defined above in section 5.2.1.

190 Negative values of MALPE indicates that the projections are low while positive values indicate that the projections are
191 tending to be too high.

192 3. Results

193 The summary of selected characteristics of Nyeri and Vuhiga Counties are presented in Table 1. The population of Nyeri
194 County stood at 752,629 while that of Vihiga was 590,013 as enumerated in the 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census.

195 **Table 1: Summary of selected characteristics of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties**

S/No.	County	Population Size		Intercensal Rate	Growth	TFR		CDR		Net Out-Migration (‘000)	
		2009	2019			1999-2009	2009- 2019	2009	2019	2009	2019
1	Nyeri	689,437	759,164	0.7	0.9	2.9	2.9	12.6	10.2	12.5	11.7
2	Vihiga	553,633	590,013	1.3	0.4	4.5	3.5	16.8	9.4	33.0	97.0

196 **Source: KNBS 2022, Vol. VI and VIII [28, 29]**

197 CCR and CWR

198 The CCRs by sex for age-groups 10-15 to 90+ were computed from the 1999 and 2009 Kenya Population and Housing
199 Census data for the Nyeri and Vihiga counties and the selected sub-counties using equation “1” and the results are presented
200 in Appendix 1.

201 The CWR ratios for age-groups 0-4 and 5-9 were also computed using the populations for age 0-5 and 5-9 in the 2019 census
202 data as a ratio of total women population age 15-44 and 20-49 respectively (Appendix 1).

203 3.1 Total projected population and projection errors

204 The generated results are presented as total population projections and total projection errors at both county and selected sub-
205 counties of Nyeri and Vihiga. In Table 2, the total 2019 projected population for Vihiga County was 612,313 while the actual

206 enumerated 2019 census population was 587,107. The projected population for Nyeri was 723,471 and the actual enumerated
207 2019 census population was 752,629.

Table 2: Projected Population and Projection Errors by sex and region

County/Sub-County	Sex	Projected	Enumerated	TAPE	MAPE	MALPE
Vihiga County	Male	293,796	282,189	4.1	10.0	3.7
	Female	318,517	304,918	4.5	10.2	2.8
	Total	612,313	587,107	4.3	9.6	3.1
Emuhaya Sub-County	Male	39,488	46,439	15.0	18.7	-10.3
	Female	43,719	50,579	13.6	20.0	-9.7
	Total	83,207	97,018	14.2	19.3	-10.0
Vihiga Sub-County	Male	48,086	45,344	6.0	9.9	2.9
	Female	51,536	49,148	4.9	8.3	1.9
	Total	99,622	94,492	5.4	7.9	2.2
Nyeri County	Male	355,463	370,047	3.9	11.3	1.1
	Female	368,008	382,582	3.8	7.8	-1.7
	Total	723,471	752,629	3.9	8.5	-0.9
Kieni West Sub-County	Male	45,768	43,595	5.0	15.3	8.9
	Female	45,939	44,409	3.4	11.1	3.7
	Total	91,707	88,004	4.2	11.5	5.4
Kieni East Sub-county	Male	57,299	54,877	4.4	21.8	9.0
	Female	57,576	54,736	5.2	16.3	5.2

208 Results in Table 2 show the 2019 population projections for the individual sub-counties were slightly higher compared with
209 the actual enumerated 2019 census population except for Emuhaya Sub-county that was slightly lower than the enumerated
210 2019 census population.
211

212 The total absolute percent forecast error for Vihiga county was 4.3 per cent while that of Nyeri County was 3.87 per cent
213 which indicates that the forecast precision was generally good. Also, the projections for Emuhaya sub-county had a relatively
214 higher total absolute percent error compared with all the other population projections

215 **Absolute percentage error for the projection by age group and sex**

216 Absolute percentage error for the projections was computed by age group and sex as presented in Appendix 2. The absolute
217 percentage error for age group 0-4 was generally higher for Vihiga county and its sub-counties as compared to Nyeri county
218 and its sub-counties. The absolute percentage error for Emuhaya sub-county was higher between age 10 and 60 and also for
219 age 80+.

220 **MAPE**

221 The Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) for Nyeri county, Kieni East and Kieni West Sub-counties were 8.5, 11.5 and
222 17.5 per cent respectively as shown in Table 1. That of Vihiga County, Emuhaya and Vihiga Sub-counties were 9.6, 19.3 and
223 7.9 per cent respectively as presented in Table 2. This implies that the projection was precise for Nyeri County, Vihiga County
224 and Vihiga Sub-county. Additionally, the forecast precision was average for Kieni West, Kieni East and Emuhaya Sub-
225 counties.

226 **MALPE**

227 From the projection results, the HP model had downward bias for Emuhaya Sub-county and Nyeri county projections with
228 Emuhaya Sub-county recording the highest downward bias of 10.0 per cent. The model had an upward bias for the rest of the
229 regions with Kieni East recording the highest at 6.3 per cent.

230 **3.2 Population projections for the selected sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties for the year 2029**

231 Having established that the HP model projects population at lower levels with good precision, it was applied to undertake
232 2029 population projections for Nyeri and Vihiga Counties and the selected sub-counties presented in Table 2. The projected
233 2029 population for Vihiga County is 613,100 while that of Nyeri county is 806,018 as presented in Table 3. The projected
234 population by age group is presented in Appendix 3.

235 **Table 3: Projections of 2029 Population by region and sex**

County/Sub-County	Sex	2019 Census	Projected 2029 Population
Vihiga County	Male	282,189	298,377
	Female	304,918	314,723
	Total	587,107	613,100
Emuhaya Sub-County	Male	46,439	59,351
	Female	50,579	62,349
	Total	97,018	121,700
Vihiga Sub-County	Male	45,344	46,898
	Female	49,148	49,806
	Total	94,492	96,704
Nyeri County	Male	370,047	398,795
	Female	282,582	407,223
	Total	752,629	806,018
Kieni West Sub-County	Male	43,595	47,314
	Female	44,409	48,078
	Total	88,004	95,392
Kieni East Sub-county	Male	54,877	60,652
	Female	54,736	61,753
	Total	109,613	122,405

236

237 **4. Discussion**

238 The Cohort-Change Ratios (CCRs) computed for age 0-4 were more than 1 for both sexes in all the counties and the selected
239 sub-counties (Appendix 1). This indicates that there was either an increase in births during the study period or more in-
240 migrants in that age group. The Child Woman Ratios (CWRs) for Nyeri County and its sub-counties were lower than those of
241 Vihiga county and its sub-counties. From the 1999, 2009 and 2019 censuses, Nyeri county has generally been recording
242 lower birth rates compared to Vihiga County. This may explain the noted difference in the CWRs for the two regions.

243 The projected 2019 census population figures for Nyeri and Vihiga Counties and their selected sub-counties were within the
244 acceptable error margins. The total absolute percentage error was low for county level projections and higher in Emuhaya sub-
245 county that had the least population in 1999 when compared with the rest of the sub-counties. The precision of the HP
246 population projection model was very good at county level and the precision decreased with decrease in population size that
247 was projected. Previous studies has also established that population size affects the precision of population forecasts [12, 24].

248 The absolute percentage error for Emuhaya sub-county was higher between age 10 and 60 possibly due to the higher effects of
249 migration while the errors for age 80+ were high most likely because of the lower numbers at this age-groups[30]

250 A measure on bias of the model was undertaken and it was noted that the model had a slight upward bias for most of the
251 regions except Emuhaya sub-county. Therefore there is need to undertake further examination of the data sets in order to
252 reduce the bias of the model. Also, there is a need to cover more sub-counties need to be covered while taking into
253 consideration the diversity of the various regions to to evaluate the magnitude of the bias and accuracy of the model.

254 5. Conclusions

255 The study results from the two sub-counties of Nyeri and Vihiga Counties, have shown that the HP population projection
256 model can be used reliably to undertake population projections for the sub-counties of Kenya. The model gives population
257 projections with very good precision when the population size to be projected is high but the precision of the model decreases
258 with decrease in population size. However, there is a need to adjust the outlier CCRs for some age groups or set ceilings to
259 ensure that the projections for all the age groups are accurate and also to smoothen the input data first so as to establish the
260 projection precision.

261 Appendix

262 Appendix 1: CCRs and CWRs for Vihiga and Nyeri Counties and Selected Sub-Counties

Age Group	Vihiga County		Emuhaya Sub-County		Vihiga Sub-County		Nyeri County		Kieni West Sub-County		Kieni East Sub-county	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
0 - 4	0.38	0.376	0.391	0.387	0.369	0.356	0.24	0.228	0.276	0.268	0.25	0.234
5 - 9	0.454	0.443	0.447	0.451	0.452	0.43	0.269	0.263	0.327	0.308	0.283	0.269
10 - 14	1.034	1.056	1.043	1.072	1.024	1.048	1.024	1.038	1.094	1.11	1.119	1.108
15 - 19	0.863	0.874	0.825	0.862	0.889	0.865	0.903	0.859	0.923	0.841	0.963	0.962
20 - 24	0.441	0.572	0.399	0.528	0.438	0.571	0.647	0.687	0.639	0.646	0.858	0.902
25 - 29	0.451	0.581	0.428	0.53	0.45	0.582	0.67	0.768	0.68	0.873	0.901	1.079
30 - 34	0.79	0.73	0.774	0.718	0.807	0.747	0.82	0.806	0.911	0.915	0.881	0.93
35 - 39	0.896	0.764	0.934	0.796	0.861	0.754	0.86	0.81	0.999	0.902	0.823	0.894
40 - 44	0.943	0.828	0.983	0.826	0.912	0.804	0.846	0.84	0.947	0.921	0.821	0.903
45 - 49	0.963	0.875	1.019	0.89	0.934	0.89	0.935	0.896	1.019	0.948	0.911	0.909
50 - 54	1.015	0.948	1.082	0.962	1.011	0.962	0.92	0.965	0.985	1.014	0.876	0.993
55 - 59	1.019	0.917	1.102	0.958	0.981	0.901	0.897	0.865	0.945	0.912	0.838	0.796
60 - 64	1.025	0.879	1.069	0.89	1.001	0.881	0.899	0.883	0.97	0.929	0.834	0.862
65 - 69	0.913	0.862	0.911	0.938	0.934	0.897	0.92	0.945	0.962	0.921	0.784	0.946
70 - 74	0.834	0.806	0.889	0.801	0.832	0.823	0.839	0.836	0.821	0.852	0.803	0.819
75 - 79	0.682	0.671	0.67	0.703	0.679	0.692	0.749	0.785	0.757	0.838	0.748	0.759
80 - 84	0.508	0.58	0.544	0.546	0.534	0.576	0.533	0.644	0.56	0.696	0.483	0.614
85 - 89	0.434	0.553	0.433	0.545	0.383	0.528	0.527	0.647	0.662	0.701	0.504	0.58
90 +	0.306	0.391	0.299	0.404	0.25	0.336	0.372	0.468	0.396	0.515	0.512	0.691

263

Appendix 2: Absolute percentage error for the projection by age group and sex

Age- Groups	Vihiga County			Emuhaya Sub-County			Vihiga County			Nyeri County			Kieni West Sub-County			Kieni East Sub-County		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Total	4.3	4.1	4.5	14.2	15.0	13.6	5.4	6.0	4.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	4.2	5.0	3.4	4.8	4.4	5.2
0 - 4	41.5	43.5	39.6	13.6	15.0	12.3	41.0	43.9	38.2	0.6	1.9	0.7	19.1	20.4	17.8	14.2	16.5	11.9
5 - 9	10.7	12.6	8.8	15.3	15.5	15.2	14.1	16.5	11.7	2.2	2.8	1.7	16.9	19.5	14.2	17.8	21.1	14.5
10 - 14	2.5	2.3	2.7	16.2	16.3	16.2	4.3	5.5	3.1	2.9	2.8	3.0	5.9	5.3	6.5	9.6	11.5	7.6
15 - 19	1.3	2.2	0.5	21.1	24.7	17.4	3.5	7.4	0.4	4.8	4.4	5.3	3.1	1.7	4.7	3.9	3.6	4.2
20 - 24	8.3	18.6	1.3	24.1	30.9	18.2	10.3	22.3	1.6	9.7	12.4	7.0	7.4	2.2	13.0	19.4	16.8	22.2
25 - 29	0.7	2.5	3.4	20.6	20.0	21.1	1.7	3.0	0.7	1.5	1.9	1.2	18.5	11.4	25.5	20.8	14.9	26.5
30 - 34	6.5	4.9	7.7	23.9	24.8	23.3	3.9	0.9	6.2	9.3	6.5	11.9	1.0	4.4	5.8	4.0	3.3	4.6
35 - 39	5.4	8.7	2.4	16.2	20.7	12.2	10.1	12.7	7.8	9.2	8.3	10.0	1.1	6.6	8.1	3.3	4.9	1.8
40 - 44	5.9	7.8	4.2	20.4	20.1	20.7	6.0	6.9	5.2	10.1	11.6	8.6	2.8	3.3	2.3	6.2	9.7	2.6
45 - 49	0.7	3.8	2.1	15.4	17.6	13.5	1.4	11.4	8.4	4.6	5.9	3.3	0.6	2.2	3.4	5.3	7.6	3.0
50 - 54	1.3	1.8	0.9	14.4	13.5	15.1	4.2	4.6	3.9	7.6	11.9	3.6	7.7	10.7	4.9	9.2	13.3	5.1
55 - 59	2.0	0.1	3.7	15.6	16.6	14.8	3.5	3.1	3.7	8.5	8.2	8.7	9.3	8.6	10.1	17.2	14.3	20.5
60 - 64	5.4	2.2	8.1	17.4	15.3	19.2	1.7	0.7	2.7	7.3	10.3	4.5	8.2	9.9	6.6	11.2	15.3	7.1
65 - 69	6.5	3.6	9.0	15.7	19.0	12.9	2.0	2.9	1.2	1.8	4.2	0.5	4.4	5.2	3.7	13.0	18.7	7.5
70 - 74	7.2	5.2	9.0	14.9	10.4	19.2	3.6	1.1	5.9	7.8	4.6	10.5	11.8	8.0	15.0	11.9	8.0	15.5
75 - 79	6.2	3.7	13.1	16.2	9.6	20.4	0.4	9.8	6.0	0.1	13.3	8.0	1.4	9.7	3.2	5.6	12.6	16.7
80 - 84	6.0	0.5	9.6	10.7	4.6	20.6	5.1	7.2	12.9	7.5	7.1	7.7	7.5	13.2	3.2	19.4	26.1	13.8
85 - 89	2.8	12.4	2.9	2.1	7.4	1.4	6.9	4.4	8.5	9.2	16.8	5.0	15.3	37.5	2.9	8.0	32.2	7.3
90 +	60.8	53.8	65.3	72.0	52.9	85.6	27.2	24.2	29.1	56.9	80.3	47.5	76.2	110.9	60.7	131.8	163.4	116.9

Appendix 3: Projected 2029 Population by age-group, sex and region

Age-Group	Vihiga County			Emuhaya Sub-County			Vihiga Sub-County			Nyeri County			Kiini West Sub-County			Kiini East Sub-County		
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female
Total	613,100	298,377	314,723	121,700	59,351	62,349	96,704	46,898	49,806	806,018	398,795	407,223	95,392	47,314	48,078	122,405	60,652	61,753
0 - 4	66,774	33,070	33,704	13,810	6,855	6,955	10,226	5,103	5,123	70,494	35,656	34,838	8,448	4,242	4,206	11,571	5,862	5,709
5 - 9	83,685	41,633	42,052	17,300	8,620	8,680	12,991	6,521	6,470	74,797	37,631	37,166	9,523	4,796	4,727	11,940	5,946	5,994
10 - 14	65,741	32,308	33,433	13,751	6,742	7,009	10,058	4,903	5,155	72,825	36,645	36,180	9,106	4,564	4,542	11,615	5,811	5,804
15 - 19	68,711	34,281	34,430	14,350	7,330	7,020	10,414	5,105	5,309	69,312	35,554	33,758	8,681	4,508	4,173	10,716	5,360	5,356
20 - 24	48,367	23,615	24,752	9,163	4,296	4,867	7,656	3,815	3,841	56,134	28,626	27,508	6,059	3,210	2,849	8,425	4,289	4,136
25 - 29	37,588	17,254	20,334	7,263	3,289	3,974	5,922	2,552	3,370	53,054	25,666	27,388	6,093	3,031	3,062	8,718	4,304	4,414
30 - 34	34,613	17,207	17,406	6,567	3,208	3,359	5,661	2,845	2,816	52,386	25,779	26,607	5,620	2,774	2,846	8,049	4,003	4,046
35 - 39	28,161	14,271	13,890	5,255	2,654	2,601	4,754	2,350	2,404	44,628	22,079	22,549	4,679	2,271	2,408	6,619	3,153	3,466
40 - 44	31,000	15,107	15,893	5,909	2,892	3,017	4,875	2,284	2,591	50,483	24,733	25,750	5,590	2,720	2,870	7,785	3,716	4,069
45 - 49	25,552	13,221	12,331	4,663	2,434	2,229	4,399	2,351	2,048	50,302	25,245	25,057	5,937	2,845	3,092	7,715	3,870	3,845
50 - 54	25,065	12,236	12,829	4,714	2,278	2,436	4,003	1,898	2,105	48,601	24,502	24,099	6,091	3,031	3,060	7,435	3,702	3,733
55 - 59	21,539	10,725	10,814	4,305	2,186	2,119	3,571	1,840	1,731	41,570	21,002	20,568	5,349	2,642	2,707	6,424	3,247	3,177
60 - 64	19,389	9,194	10,195	3,870	1,880	1,990	3,080	1,439	1,641	36,907	18,471	18,436	4,820	2,446	2,374	5,163	2,659	2,504
65 - 69	17,740	7,786	9,954	3,518	1,591	1,927	2,835	1,276	1,559	30,651	15,124	15,527	3,600	1,838	1,762	4,179	2,134	2,045
70 - 74	15,745	7,141	8,604	2,999	1,399	1,600	2,463	1,121	1,342	19,403	8,983	10,420	2,152	991	1,161	2,357	1,122	1,235
75 - 79	10,809	4,556	6,253	2,112	884	1,228	1,673	710	963	13,758	5,690	8,068	1,438	622	816	1,591	665	926
80 - 84	6,776	2,828	3,948	1,183	486	697	1,127	462	665	10,946	4,548	6,398	1,159	492	667	1,199	555	644
85 - 89	3,606	1,163	2,443	632	202	430	581	188	393	5,737	1,782	3,955	684	194	490	545	149	396
90 +	2,239	781	1,458	336	125	211	415	135	280	4,030	1,079	2,951	363	97	266	359	105	254

References

- 1 Mason, A. Population and housing. *Population Research and Policy Review* 1996, 15(5–6), 419–435.
- 2 Siegel, J. *Applied demography: Applications to business, government, law, and public policy*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press 2002.
- 3 Johnson, K.). Selecting markets for corporate expansion: A case study in applied demography. In H. Kintner, T. Merrick, P. Morrison, & P. Voss (Eds.), *Demographics: A casebook for business and government*. Boulder: CO. Westview Press. 1994 (pp. 129–143).
- 4 Wilson, T., Grossman, I., Alexander, M., Rees, P., and Temple, J. Methods for small area population forecasts: State-of-the-art and research needs. *Population Research and Policy Review* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-021-09671-6>.
- 5 Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. *Kenya 2009 Population and Housing Census, Analytical Report on Population Projections*. 2012, Vol. IX.
- 6 Government of Kenya (GoK). *The Constitution of Kenya 2010*. Nairobi: Government Printer 2010.
- 7 County Governments Act No. 17 of 2012: Government Printer 2012.
http://www.parliament.go.ke/sites/default/files/2017-05/CountyGovernmentsActNo17of2012_1.pdf
- 8 Wilson, T., Grossman, I., Alexander, M. et al. Methods for Small Area Population Forecasts: State-of-the-Art and Research Needs. *Popul Res Policy Rev* 2022, 41, 865–898. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-021-09671-6>
- 9 Smith, S. K., Tayman, J., & Swanson, D. A. *A practitioner's guide to state and local population projections*. Springer 2013.
- 10 Li, N., & Lee, R. Coherent mortality forecasts for a group of populations: An extension of the Lee–Carter method. *Demography* 2005, 42(3), 575–594. <https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.2005.0021>
- 11 Shang, H. L., & Booth, H. Synergy in fertility forecasting: Improving forecast accuracy through model averaging. *Genus* 2020, 76(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41118-020-00099-y>
12. Rayer, S. Population forecast errors: A primer for planners. *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 2008, 27(4), 417–430. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456x07313925>;
13. Tayman, J. Assessing uncertainty in small area forecasts: State of the practice and implementation strategy. *Population Research and Policy Review* 2011, 30(5), 781–800. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-011-9210-9>;
14. Wilson, T., & Rowe, F. The forecast accuracy of local government area population projections: A case study of Queensland. *The Australasian Journal of Regional Studies*, 2011, 17(2), 204–243.
- 15 Vegard S., Isolde P., Samir KC, Emma T., Chris W. Report on methods for demographic projections at multiple levels of aggregation. 2007. <https://pure.iiasa.ac.at/id/eprint/8304/1/XO-07-026.pdf>
- 16 Baker, J., Swanson, D. A., Tayman, J., & Tedrow, L. M. *Cohort change ratios and their applications*. Springer International Publishing 2017.
- 17 Swanson, D. A., Schlottmann, A., & Schmidt, B. Forecasting the population of census tracts by age and sex: An example of the Hamilton–Perry method in action. *Population Research and Policy Review* 2010, 29(1), 47–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-009-9144-7>
- 18 Baker, J., Alcántara, A., Ruan, X., Watkins, K., & Vasan, S. Spatial weighting improves accuracy in small-area demographic forecasts of urban census tract populations. *Journal of Population Research* 2014, 31(4) 345–359. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-014-9137-1>.

-
- 19 Tayman, J., & Swanson, D. A. Using modified cohort change and child-woman ratios in the Hamilton–Perry forecasting method. *Journal of Population Research* 2017, 34(3), 209–231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12546-017-9190-7>
- 20 Hauer, M. E. Population projections for US counties by age, sex, and race controlled to shared socioeconomic pathway. *Scientific Data* 2019, 6(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2019.5>
- 21 Inoue, T. A new method for estimating small area demographics and its application to long-term population projection. In D. A. Swanson (Ed.), *The frontiers of applied demography* 2017, 473–489. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43329-5_22
- 22 Wilson, T., Brokensha, H., Rowe, F., and Simpson, L. Insights from the evaluation of past local area population forecasts. *Population Research Policy Review* 2018, 37(1): 137–155. <https://doi:10.1007/s11113-017-9450-4>.
- 23 Smith, S.K. and Tayman, J. An evaluation of population projections by age. *Demography* 2003, 40(4): 741–757. <https://doi:10.1353/dem.2003.0041>.
- 24 Smith, S., Tayman, J., & Swanson, D. State and local population projections: Methodology and analysis. New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Press 2001.
- 25 Tayman, J. The accuracy of small area population forecasts based on a spatial interaction land use modeling system. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 1996, 62:85-98.
- 26 Smith, S. K., & Shahidullah, M. An evaluation of population projections errors by census tract. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1995, 90, 64–71.
27. Smith, S. K., & Shahidullah, M. An evaluation of population projections errors by census tract. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1995, 90, 64–71.
28. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Kenya 2019 Population and Housing Census, Analytical Report on Fertility and Nuptiality 2022, Vol. VI.
29. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census: Analytical Report on Migration 2022, Vol. VIII.
30. Tayman, J., E. Schafer, and L. Carter. The role of population size in the determination and prediction of population forecast errors: An evaluation using confidence intervals for subcounty areas. *Population Research and Policy Review* 1998, 17:1-20.