Impact of Deaths from Dissolved Households on Mortality Measurement: Evidence from the Ouagadougou HDSS

Ouedraogo Soumaila[*1], Compaore Yacouba[2], and Helleringer Stephane[1]

[1]New York University Abu Dhabi, Division of social science, United Arab Emirates
[2]University Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Institut Superieur des Sciences de la Population, Burkina Faso

February 12, 2024

Abstract

Providing reliable estimates of mortality in countries with imperfect vital statistics requires addressing the issue of omissions of deaths in general population surveys. Using data from the Ouagadougou health and demographic surveillance system, we rely on event history analysis to describe the impact of deaths on household survival. Furthermore, we assess the potential omissions of deaths caused by dissolved households and their overall impact on mortality estimates.

Keywords: *Household, dissolution, survival, omission, bias, mortality*

*Corresponding author: so2276@nyu.edu

1 Background

In countries with poor vital statistics, censuses and surveys remain the main national data sources for measuring adult mortality. Regardless of the source, the entry point for the collection of these data is the households to which the population belongs. However, several errors could affect these data, including age errors due to individuals not knowing their true ages when they were alive, and the fact that the ages of deceased individuals are reported by others in the household after they died. This adds uncertainty to the age at death reporting. In addition to these errors, which have been discussed extensively (Masquelier et al., 2021; Helleringer et al., 2014; Randall and Coast, 2016; Pison and Ohadike, 2006; Murray et al., 2010; Preston et al., 1999), there is also the possibility of voluntary omissions, which occur when respondents avoid mentioning deceased persons to avoid awakening painful memories. These omissions may also be involuntary and result from recall errors by the respondents leading to the evocation of deaths that occurred outside the reference period of the deaths to be recorded. Finally, they may be the result of less obvious involuntary omissions, notably through the dissolution of some households.

From a demographic perspective, households undergo the same events as the individuals composing them, i.e. they get born (formation), live in a given geographical space from which they often move (migration) and may die (dissolution). The dissolution of a household can lead to its total extinction in the case of a one-person household who dies or a household that migrates entirely to a new area different from its usual territory of residence. It can also lead to a fragmentation and a displacement of household members into one or more other existing households after a death. Thus, migration and death are the major events that drive the dynamics of household survival. When recording deaths within households during the previous twelve months as it is generally done in censuses and some surveys, household dissolution can lead to substantial biases depending on the proportion in which deaths had occurred. Whether it concerns the whole population or a sample, any household that has been completely dissolved by migration outside the reference territory during the twelve-month interval of interest has a null probability of being recorded. Therefore, any deaths that occurred in such households can not be captured. As a result, any deaths that occurred in such households are de facto omitted from the death headcounts. This would contribute to under-reporting of deaths.

In this manuscript, we address the latter bias and analyse its implications for mortality measurement using prospective data from local populations in an urban agglomeration of Ouagadougou in Burkina Faso.

Objective

The main objective of this research is to understand the death-related biases due to household dissolution. Specifically, we seek on one hand to assess the impact of deaths over time on the dissolution of households. On the other hand, we aim to estimate mortality rates with and without these potentially omitted deaths.

2 Methods

As a case study, the data used for this research are from the Ouagadougou Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS). This site is established since 2008 and is composed of a settled area covering two districts and a non-settled area covering three districts, all in the neighbourhood of Ouagadougou, the capital of Burkina Faso.

From the follow-up of households residing on the site, it is possible to track their dynamics over time and identify those that are turning into zero member. To achieve this, the demographic balance equation is reduced to the household as follows:

$$NHH = ENU + BTH + IMG + ENT - EXT - OMG - DTH$$

Where

NHH = Household size
ENU = Enumerated people in the household at the beginning
BTH = Birth recorded within the household
IMG = Immigration from outside the HDSS into the household
ENT = Immigration from inside the HDSS into the household
EXT = Outmigration from the household inside the HDSS
OMG = Outmigration from the household outside the HDSS
DTH = Death recorded within the household

Within each household, the household size is calculated at the beginning of the enumeration and updated after any birth, immigration or outmigration from the HDSS area, after any internal migration within the HDSS area, or after a death. Similarly, any new household that immigrates into the HDSS area is captured and tracked. In this way, any household that ends up with a zero size is considered dissolved. Considering that our interest was death-related dissolution of households and the impact of these dissolutions on mortality measurement, our analysis has been restricted to households in which at least one death had occurred. From an event history perspective, we observed these households from the time of the first death up to a one year (d_1), two years (d_2) and three years (d_3). We assume that the impact of deaths on household dissolution should be observable throughout the first few years. Nevertheless, we focus on the first year with reference to the 12-month reference period used to record deaths in conventional surveys. At these pre-specified observation times, the event of interest is the dissolution of households. Any household surviving beyond these time periods will be considered as right-censored. Assuming T as the survival time of a randomly chosen household that had experienced a death, and given having survived to a given time t, the force of dissolution or instantaneous risk for being dissolved $h(t)$ in a short time interval $(t, t + \delta t)$ immediately after t can be expressed as :

$$h(t) = \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{P(t \leq T < t + \delta t | T \geq t)}{\delta t}$$

Using the existing relationship between the hazard and survival functions, we analyzed the survival of households at observation times d_1, d_2 and d_3 using Kaplan-

Meier estimates. Considering different dissolution time t_i, the estimator $\hat{S}(t)$ at a given time t is obtained by :

$$\hat{S}(t) = \prod_{t_i \leq t} (1 - \hat{q}_i), \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{q}_i = \frac{d_i}{n_i}$$

where n_i is the number of households at risk of dissolution at time t_i and d_i is the number of dissolved households at that time.

Besides the instantaneous dissolution rates of households in which deaths have occurred, an assessment has been made of the biases in the measurement of mortality that could result from these deaths, regarded as being at risk of omission. Let us illustrate our remarks with the crude death rate (CDR) which is obtained as follows:

$$CDR = \frac{Dx}{PY}$$

where Dx denotes the total number of deaths and PY the population at risk. Dx can be decomposed into deaths from surviving households (Dx_1) and deaths from dissolved households (Dx_2). As Dx_2 are deaths considered to be at risk of omission, we can then derive a crude omission rate (COR) as the bias on the overall mortality level as follows:

$$COR = CDR - \frac{Dx_2}{PY}$$

We estimate COR at the three observation periods of one, two and three years. Subsequently, the construction of mortality tables made it possible to assess the impact of omission bias on life expectancies within the HDSS.

3 Results

Analyses are underway and the initial results are being finalized. A more complete version with the results will be available in a more complete paper for the conference.

References

Helleringer, S., Pison, G., Kanté, A. M., Duthé, G., and Andro, A. (2014). Reporting errors in siblings' survival histories and their impact on adult mortality estimates: results from a record linkage study in Senegal. *Demography*, 51(2):387–411. Publisher: Springer.

Masquelier, B., Kanyangarara, M., Pison, G., Kanté, A. M., Ndiaye, C. T., Douillot, L., Duthé, G., Sokhna, C., Delaunay, V., and Helleringer, S. (2021). Errors in reported ages and dates in surveys of adult mortality: A record linkage study in Niakhar (Senegal). *Population Studies*, 75(2):269–287. Publisher: Routledge _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/00324728.2020.1854332.

Murray, C. J., Rajaratnam, J. K., Marcus, J., Laakso, T., and Lopez, A. D. (2010). What can we conclude from death registration? Improved methods for evaluating completeness. *PLoS medicine*, 7(4):e1000262.

Pison, G. and Ohadike, P. (2006). Errors and manipulations in age assessment. *Human Clocks. The Bio-Cultural Meaning of Age, Peter Lang, Berne*, pages 313–336.

Preston, S. H., Elo, I. T., and Stewart, Q. (1999). Effects of age misreporting on mortality estimates at older ages. *Population studies*, 53(2):165–177.

Randall, S. and Coast, E. (2016). The quality of demographic data on older Africans. *Demographic Research*, 34:143.